

Measuring Progress Performance Report

October 2019 - December 2019

This page is intentionally left blank

Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service Measuring Progress October 19 – December 19

Introduction

The following pages set out Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service's Performance Framework, an explanation of how our Key Performance Indicator's (KPI) are measured and how we are performing.

The document illustrates our performance across all our KPI's and where appropriate, by an analysis of the KPI's which are classified as being in exception, along with an analysis of the cause and actions being taken to improve performance.

| Table of Contents | Page (s) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Performance Framework | 4 |
| Explanation of Performance Measures | 5 |
| Index | 6 - 7 |
| Key Performance Indicators | 9 - 34 |

Performance Framework

The Combined Fire Authority sets the Service challenging targets for a range of key performance indicators (KPI) which help them to monitor and measure our performance in achieving success and meeting our priorities. Performance against these KPIs is scrutinised every quarter at the Performance Committee.

The below graphic illustrates our priorities and how their respective KPI's fit within the overall performance framework.

Critical Fire Risk Map Score **Preventing** fires 1.1 1.2 Overall Activity and other 1.3 Accidental Dwelling Fires (ADF) emergencies from happening. 1.3.1 ADF – Extent of Damage (Fire Severity) 1.3.2 ADF – Number of incidents where occupants have received a Home Fire Safety Check **Protecting ADF** Casualties people and 1.4 1.5 Accidental Building Fires property when 1.5.1 Accidental Building Fires – Extent of Damage (Fire Severity) fires happen. 1.6.1 Deliberate Fires – Antisocial Behaviour (ASB) 1.6.2 Deliberate Fires - Dwellings 1.6.3 Deliberate Fires – Non Dwellings 1.7 High Risk HFSC 1.8 Road Safety Education Fire Safety Enforcement 1.9

Responding to fire and other emergencies quickly and competently.

2.1.1 Critical Fire Response – 1st Fire Engine Attendance
2.1.2 Critical Special Service Response – 1st Fire Engine Attendance
2.2.1 Critical Special Service Response – 1st Fire Engine Attendance
2.3 Fire Engine Availability (Wholetime, Day Crewing & Day Crewing Plus)
2.4 Fire Engine Availability (On Call)
2.4.1 Fire Engine Availability (On Call) – Without wholetime detachments
2.5 Staff Accidents

Delivering value for money in how we use our resources.

3.1 Progress Against Savings Programme
Overall User Satisfaction

Valuing our people so that they can focus on making Lancashire safer.

4.2.1 Staff Absence (Excluding On Call)

4.2.2 Staff Absence (On Call)

Explanation of Performance Measures

KPI's are monitored either by using an XmR chart, comparing current performance against that achieved in the previous cumulative years activity, or against a pre-determined standard, for example, the response standard KPI's are measured against a range of set times.

The set times are dependent upon the risk rating given to each Super Output Area (SOA), which is presented as a percentage of occasions where the standard is met.

XmR chart explanation (Value [X] over a moving [m] range [R]).

An XmR chart is a control chart used to highlight any significant changes in activity so that interventions can be made before an issue arises. It can also highlight where activity has decreased, potentially as a result of preventative action which could be replicated elsewhere.


Activity is deemed to be within standard if it remains within set upper and lower limits. These limits are based upon the previous three years activity and are set using a statistical constant, derived from the standard deviation.

An exception report is generated if the XmR rules are breached.

The following rules are applicable to the XmR charts and define when an exception has occurred:

- 1. A single point beyond the Upper Control Limit is classified as a negative exception.
- 2. A single point beyond the Lower Control Limit is classified as a positive exception.


Example XmR chart: In the example below, KPI 1.3 would produce a negative exception for meeting rule 1, as the activity, represented as a dark blue line, for December 2018 (::) is above the Upper Control Limit (UCL) and a positive exception in February 2019 (::) for meeting rule 2.



Key Performance Index and Indicator trends

This section provides an overview of the performance direction of the KPI's. Each KPI is shown within its priority with an indicator, called Sparkline's, which are the inset summary charts below and indicate the relative direction of travel and trends over the last four quarters; so the last point of the chart will always represent the most recent quarter. Sparkline's are simple indicative indicators and are not intended to have labelled points or axes.

The cell shading denotes whether the indicator is - within accepted limits:



is in positive exception:

or is in negative exception:

| KPI | Description Progress | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------------------|---|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | | ting fires and other emergencies from happening. ing people and property when fires happen. | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | 2 | Risk Map Score | | 9 | | | | | | |
| 1.2 | | Overall Activity | | 10 | | | | | | |
| 1.3 | A | Accidental Dwelling Fires (ADF) | | 12 | | | | | | |
| 1.3.1 | | ADF - Extent of Damage (Fire Severity) | | 13 | | | | | | |
| 1.3.2 | HFSC | ADF - Number of Incidents Where Occupants have Received a HFSC | | 14 | | | | | | |
| 1.4 | 3 | Accidental Dwelling Fire Casualties | | 15 | | | | | | |
| 1.5 | | Accidental Building Fires (ABF) - Non Dwellings | | 17 | | | | | | |
| 1.5.1 | | ABF (Non Dwellings) - Extent of Damage (Fire Severity) | | 18 | | | | | | |
| 1.6.1 | 禁 | Deliberate Fires - Anti-Social Behaviour | | 19 | | | | | | |
| 1.6.2 | | Deliberate Fires - Dwellings | | 19 | | | | | | |
| 1.6.3 | | Deliberate Fires - Non Dwellings | | 19 | | | | | | |
| 1.7 | HFSC | High Risk Home Fire Safety Checks | | 20 | | | | | | |
| 1.8 | | Road Safety Education Evaluation | | 21 | | | | | | |
| 1.9 | | Fire Safety Enforcement | | 22 | | | | | | |

Key Performance Index and Indicator trends

| KPI | | Description | Progress | Page (s) | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Responding to fire and other emergencies quickly and competently. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.1 | | Critical Fire Response - 1st Fire Engine Attendance | | 23 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1.2 | | Critical Fire Response - 2nd Fire Engine Attendance | | 24 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2.1 | | Critical Special Service Response - 1st Fire Engine Attendance | | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 | | Fire Engine Availability - Wholetime, Day Crewing and Day Crewing Plus | | 26 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4 | ON-CALL | Fire Engine Availability - On-Call Duty System | | 27 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4.1 | ON-CALL | Fire Engine Availability - On-Call Duty System (without wholetime detachments) | Subset of KPI 2.4 and provided for information only | 28 | | | | | | | | |
| 2.5 | | Staff Accidents | | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Deliver | ing value for money in how we use our resources. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | E. | Progress Against Savings Programme | | 30 | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 | | Overall User Satisfaction | | 31 | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Valuing | our people so that they can focus on making Lancashire s | safer. | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 | †† | Staff Absence - Excluding On-Call Duty System | | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.2 | | Staff Absence - On-Call Duty System | | 34 | | | | | | | | |



October 19 - December 19


1.1 Risk Map



Risk Score

31,816

This indicator measures the fire risk in each SOA. Risk is determined using fire activity over the previous three fiscal years along with a range of demographic data, such as population and deprivation.



Specifically, the risk score for each SOA is calculated using the formula shown below.

Once an SOA has been assigned a score, it is then categorised by risk grade.

Standard: To reduce the risk in Lancashire - an annual reduction in the County risk map score.

The County risk map score is updated annually, before the end of the first quarter. An improvement is shown by a year on year decreasing 'score' value.

Current score 31,816, previous year score 32,114.

 $\frac{\text{Dwelling Fires}}{\text{Total Dwellings}} + \left(\frac{\text{Dwelling Fire Casualties}}{\text{Resident Population}} \times 4 \right) + \text{Building Fire} + \left(\text{IMD x 2} \right) = \text{Risk Score}$

| Score Category | Risk Grade | Score (14-17) | SOA Count (14-17) | Score (15-18) | SOA Count (15-18) | Score (16-19) | SOA Count (16-19) |
|-----------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Less than 36 | L | 11,980 | 521 | 12,012 | 524 | 12,528 | 542 |
| Between 36 & 55 | M | 13,722 | 321 | 13,654 | 321 | 13,230 | 310 |
| Between 56 & 75 | Н | 4,654 | 74 | 4,598 | 74 | 4,306 | 68 |
| Greater than 75 | VH | 2,042 | 25 | 1,850 | 22 | 1,752 | 21 |
| Grand Total | | 32,398 | 941 | 32,114 | 941 | 31,816 | 941 |

| Risk Grade | Very High |
|------------|--|
| 2018 count | 22 |
| 2019 count | 21 |
| Change | -5% Overall reduction in Very High risk SOA's |

| High |
|---|
| 74 |
| 68 |
| -8% Overall reduction in High risk SOA's |

| Medium |
|------------------|
| 321 |
| 310 |
| -3% |
| Overall increase |
| in Medium risk |
| SOA's |

| Low |
|-------------------|
| 524 |
| 542 |
| 1 3% |
| Overall reduction |
| in Low risk SOA's |

| Overall Risk Score |
|------------------------------------|
| 32,114 |
| 31,816 |
| -1% Overall reduction in fire risk |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

1.2 Overall Activity




Quarter activity

4,282

The number of incidents that LFRS attend with one or more pumping appliances. Includes fires, special service calls, false alarms and collaborative work undertaken with other emergency services. For example, missing person searches on behalf of the Police and gaining entry incidents at the request of the Ambulance Service.

A breakdown of incident types included within this KPI is shown on the following page.

Quarterly activity increased 5.21% over the same quarter of the previous year.



The grey line on the XmR chart denotes the mean monthly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the current mean.

| Current | 3 year | Monthly Mean | | | | | | |
|---------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Mean | Mean | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | | | | |
| 1,484 | 1,335 | 1,421 | 1,320 | 1,263 | | | | |

October 19 - December 19

1.2 Overall Activity Breakdown





Quarter activity

4,282

Incidents attended by Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service consist of a myriad of different types. The breakdown below, whilst not an exhaustive list, aims to illustrate how activity captured within KPI 1.2 Overall Activity is split by the different types of incidents.

The chart figures represent the count and percentage each activity contributes to the quarter's activity, whilst the inset table breaks the incident types down further.







FALSE ALARM incidents make up the majority of the Service's activity. During quarter 3 false alarms consisted of: 61% Fire alarm due to Apparatus, 37% Good Intent false alarm and 2% Malicious False Alarm.



SPECIAL SERVICE incidents are made up of a number of different activities, of which, 637 are defined as critical incidents and are captured within KPI 2.2.1. On behalf of the Ambulance Service we were asked to gain entry to a property on 489 occasions, of which, 241 (49%) resulted in the use of tools to gain entry to a property. Also, 17% of special service incidents are Road Traffic Collisions (RTC) and 6% are flooding related.



SECONDARY FIRE incidents are mainly anti-social behaviour fires (KPI 1.6.1). These makeup 62% and mainly involve loose refuse. Whilst 38% are accidental fires, or fires with an unknown cause.



PRIMARY FIRE incidents encompass Accidental Dwelling Fires at 40% and are shown later in the report as KPI 1.3. Accidental Building Fires contribute 14% and again are covered within its own KPI 1.5.

October 19 - December 19

1.3 Accidental Dwelling Fires




Quarter activity 209

The number of primary fires where a dwelling has been affected <u>and</u> the cause of fire has been recorded as 'Accidental' or 'Not known'.

A primary fire is one involving property (excluding derelict property) <u>or</u> any fires involving casualties, rescues, <u>or</u> any fire attended by five <u>or</u> more appliances. An appliance is counted if either the appliance, equipment from it or personnel riding on it, were used to fight the fire.

Quarterly activity decreased 5.86% over the same quarter of the previous year.



The grey line on the XmR chart denotes the mean monthly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the current mean.

| Current | 3 year | Monthly Mean | | | | | | |
|---------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Mean | Mean | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | | | | |
| 69 | 72 | 68 | 79 | 70 | | | | |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

1.3.1 ADF - Extent of Damage (Fire Severity)



Quarter activity: 95.2%

ADF criteria as 1.3. Extent of fire and heat damage is recorded at the time the STOP message is sent and includes all damage types.

The chart below shows a rolling quarterly severity of Accidental Dwelling Fire over the previous two years. Each quarter is broken down in to high, medium & low and is calculated using the Cheshire Fire Severity Index for Accidental Dwelling Fires.

Each quarter includes the percentage out of 100% that each severity type represents of the total, with an indicator to illustrate the direction against the same quarter of the previous year.

The latest quarter recorded a combined 'low' and 'medium' severity of 95.2%. This is a decrease of 0.3% against the 95.5% recorded in the same quarter of the previous year.

| | | Severity (Direction against the same | | | | | Pi | reviou | ıs Rolli | ng 4 | Quarte | rs | 0 | |
|------------------------------------|----|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| | | | | | evious year) | | Quarter 3 | | rter 4 | Qu | ıarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | |
| 1.3.1 ADF – Severity of Fire | | | High | • | 1 | | 4.5% | | 3.2% | | 4.3% | 6.4% | 4. | .8% |
| | | ا | Medium | • | | 52.7% | | 49.7% | | 493% | | 50.7% | 55 | 55.0% |
| | | | Low | Û | | 42.8% | | 47 | 47.0% 46. | | 6.4% | 42.9% | 40.2% | |
| 100% - | | 4.9% | 4.2% | 5.2% | 4 | 46.4% 52.7 | | 5% | 3.29 | % | 4.3% | 6.4% | 4.8% | 6 |
| 80% - | 2 | 19.6% | 50.6% | 48.6% | 46 | | | 2.7% 49.79 | | % 49.3% | | 50.7% | 55% | / ₆ |
| 60% - 40% - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20% - | 2 | 15.5% | 45.1% | 46.2% | 49 | 9.0% | 42. | .8% 47.09 | | 46.4% | | 42.9% | 40.2 | % |
| 0% | Q: | 3 | Q4 | Q1 | | Q2 Q3 | | 3 | Q4 | Q1 | | Q2 | C | 23 |
| | | 2017 | //18 | ' | | 2018 | 8/19 | | 1 . | | 2019/20 | | ' | |
| | | | | ■ High | | ■ Medium | | | - | Low | ı | | | |

October 19 - December 19

1.3.2 ADF - Number of Incidents Where Occupants have Received a HFSC



% with previous HFSC

15%

ADF criteria as 1.3. The HFSC must be a completed job (i.e. not a refusal) carried out by LFRS personnel or partner agency. The HFSC must have been carried out within <u>12 months</u> prior of the fire occurring.

An improvement is shown if the percentage of '% of ADF's with previous HFSC' is greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year. This indicates that the correct households are being targeted with prevention activities.

Over the latest quarter, Accidental Dwelling Fires with a previous HFSC increased 4% over the same quarter of the previous year.

| | 2019 | 9/20 | 1 /↓ | 2018/19 | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | ADF's with previous HFSC | % of ADF's with previous HFSC | Progress | ADF's with previous HFSC | % of ADF's with previous HFSC | |
| Quarter 1 | 23 | 11% | 1 | 21 | 10% | |
| Quarter 2 | 26 | 13% | 1 | 17 | 9% | |
| Quarter 3 | 32 | 15% | 1 | 24 | 11% | |
| Quarter 4 | | | | 15 | 8% | |

1.4 Accidental Dwelling Fire Casualties



Quarter activity


20

ADF criteria as 1.3. The number of fire related fatalities, slight and serious injuries.

A slight injury is defined as; a person attending hospital as an outpatient (not precautionary check). A serious injury is defined as; at least an overnight stay in hospital as an in-patient.

There were no fatalities during the latest quarterly period. Nine casualties are recorded as serious and 11 slight. The same quarter of the previous year recorded 4 fatalities, 3 serious and 7 slight.

| Casualty Status | Year to Date | 2019/20 Quarter 3 | Previous year to Date | 2018/19 Quarter 3 |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Fatal | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 |
| Victim went to hospital, injuries appear Serious | 19 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| Victim went to hospital, injuries appear Slight | 25 | 11 | 23 | 7 |
| Total | 47 | 20 | 36 | 14 |



The grey line on the XmR chart denotes the mean monthly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the current mean.

| Current | 3 year | Monthly Mean | | | | |
|---------|--------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Mean | Mean | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | | |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | | |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

What are the reasons for an Exception Report

This is a negative exception report due to the number casualty's recorded during quarter 3 being above the upper control limit.

Analysis

During quarter three October 2019 - December 2019, there have been 20 recorded casualties, with a peak in October of 10. This month is above the upper control limit of 9.

This was largely due to a single incident involving 4 casualties, with an ignition source originating within the electric meter of a terraced house. All 4 casualties went to hospital, with injuries which appeared to be serious.

The remaining 6 casualties occurred in separate incidents, at different locations.

This is an unusual high, with the monthly average, based over the previous 10 years, being 4 casualties. The last time a double digit return occurred was in December 2014.

The overall Accidental Dwelling Fire (KPI 1.3) counts show that October was below the 3 year mean and that the year to date count is at a 10 year low.

Home Fire Safety Checks (HFSC's) have also been increasing, with a greater number being undertaken than in the previous 4 years.

Actions being taken to improve performance

The Service aims to continue with:

- Continue local and county wide initiatives.
- Community Fire Safety (CFS) attendance at Age UK events, Dementia cafes, engagement with people with a health, physical or mental health condition to highlight key messages and champion LFRS campaigns.
- Engagement with local pubs, with material left to highlight awareness of cooking under the influence.
- Continued encouragement of partner agencies to refer those they feel at risk of fire
- The Live Safe, Age Well presentation delivered to Councils and other large employee organisations.

October 19 - December 19

1.5 Accidental Building Fires (Non Dwellings)




Quarter activity

72

Primary fire criteria as 1.3. Accidental Building Fires (ABF) are recorded as: Primary fires where; the property type is 'Building' and the property sub type does not equal 'Dwelling' and the cause of fire has been recorded as 'Accidental' or 'Not known'.

Quarterly activity decreased 28.71% over the same quarter of the previous year.



The grey line on the XmR chart denotes the mean monthly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the current mean.

| Current | 3 year | Monthly Mean | | | | |
|---------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| wean | Mean Mean | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | | |
| 26 | 31 | 34 | 31 | 28 | | |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

1.5.1 ABF (Non Dwellings) - Extent of Damage (Fire Severity)



Quarter activity:


90.3%

ABF criteria as 1.5. Extent of fire and heat damage is recorded at the time the STOP message is sent and includes all damage types. Included within this KPI are property types of private garages and private sheds; due to their single room construction, any damage is often classified as 'whole building', which will have the effect of increasing their severity category outcome.

The chart below shows a rolling quarterly severity of ABF over the previous two years. Each quarter is broken down in to high, medium & low and is calculated using the Cheshire Fire Severity Index for Accidental Dwelling Fires methodology, applied to Accidental Building Fires.

Each quarter includes the percentage out of 100% that each severity type represents of the total, with an indicator to illustrate the direction against the same quarter of the previous year.

The latest quarter recorded a combined 'low' and 'medium' severity of 90.3%. This is an improvement of 19% against the 71.3% recorded in the same quarter of the previous year.



October 19 - December 19


1.6 Deliberate Fires



Quarter activity

342

The number of primary and secondary fires where; the cause of fire has been recorded as 'Deliberate'. Secondary fires are the majority of outdoor fires including grassland and refuse fires unless they involve casualties or rescues, property loss or 5 or more appliances attend; includes fires in single derelict buildings.



| The grey line on the XmR chart | Current Mean | 3 year Mean | Me | onthly Mea | n |
|--|-----------------|----------------|---------|------------|---------|
| denotes the mean monthly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the | Wieari | Ivicali | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 |
| current mean. | 157 | 160 | 175 | 156 | 150 |

October 19 - December 19

1.7 Home Fire Safety Checks



Quarter outcome

60%


The percentage of completed HFSC's (KPI 1.7.1), excluding refusals, carried out by LFRS personnel or partner agencies in the home, where the risk score has been determined to be high.

An improvement is shown if:

- 1) the total number of HFSC's completed is greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year and,
- 2) the percentage of high HFSC outcomes is greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year.

The number of completed HFSC's decreased 2.1% over the same quarter of the previous year and those with a high risk outcome, decreased by 4%.

| | 201 | 9/20 | ♠ /⇩ | 2018/19 | | |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|--|
| | HFSC completed | % of High HFSC outcomes | Progress | HFSC completed | % of High HFSC outcomes | |
| Quarter 1 | 4,841 | 65% | ↑ /↓ | 3,441 | 66% | |
| Quarter 2 | 5,373 | 61% | 1 √↓ | 3,988 | 67% | |
| Quarter 3 | 4,841 | 60% | ₽\₽ | 4,945 | 64% | |
| Quarter 4 | | | | 5,137 | 65% | |



Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

1.8 Road Safety Education Evaluation



Quarter activity

85%

The percentage of participants of the Wasted Lives and RoadSense education packages that show a positive change to less risky behaviour following the programme. This is based on comparing the overall responses to an evaluation question pre and post-delivery of the course.

Total participants are a combination of those engaged with at Wasted Lives and Road Sense events.


An improvement is shown if the percentage positive influence on participants behaviour is greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year.

The total number of participants increased 54.7% and those with a percentage of positive influence on participant's behaviour remained consistent with the same quarter of the previous year.

| | _ | 2019/20 mulative) | ♠ /⇩ | 2018/19 (Cumulative) | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| | Total participants | % positive influence on participants behaviour ^[1] | Progress | Total participants | % positive influence on participants behaviour ^[1] | |
| Quarter 1 | 4,354 | 85% | ₽/⇔ | 5002 | 85% | |
| Quarter 2 | 8,158 | 85% ^[2] | 1 /<> | 5983 | 85% | |
| Quarter 3 | 16,417 | 85% ^[2] | 1 /<> | 10613 | 85% | |
| Quarter 4 | | | | 17220 | 85% | |

^[1] From a sample. [2] Estimate





October 19 - December 19

1.9 Fire Safety Enforcement



Quarter activity 10%


The number of Fire Safety Enforcement inspections carried out within the period resulting in supporting businesses to improve and become compliant with fire safety regulations or to take formal action of enforcement and prosecution of those that fail to comply.

Formal activity is defined as one or more of the following; enforcement notice or an action plan, alterations notice or prohibition notice.

An improvement is shown if the percentage of audits 'Requiring formal activity' is greater than the comparable quarter of the previous year. This helps inform that the correct businesses are being identified.

*The 'Number of Inspections' count includes Business safety advice and advice to other enforcement authorities, which are not captured within the formal/informal or satisfactory counts.

| | | | ♠ /⇩ | 2018/19 | | | |
|---------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | *Number of | Requiring | | Satisfactory | Percentage | | Percentage |
| Quarter | Inspections | Formal Activity | Informal Activity | Audit | requiring Formal Activity | Progress | requiring Formal Activity |
| 1 | 411 | 38 | 270 | 90 | 9% | \Leftrightarrow | 9% |
| 2 | 392 | 35 | 248 | 105 | 9% | Û | 12% |
| 3 | 385 | 38 | 222 | 93 | 10% | 1 | 7% |
| 4 | | | | | | | 11% |



2.1.1 Emergency Response Standards - Critical Fires - 1st Fire Engine Attendance



Quarter response 88.01%

Critical fire incidents are defined as incidents that are likely to involve a significant threat to life, structures or the environment. Our response standards, in respect of critical fires, are variable and are determined by the risk map (KPI 1.1) and subsequent risk grade of the Super Output Area (SOA) in which the fire occurred.


The response standards include call handling and fire engine response time for the first fire engine attending a critical fire, and are as follows:

- Very high risk area = 6 minutes
- High risk area = 8 minutes

- Medium risk area = 10 minutes
- Low risk area = 12 minutes

We have achieved our **90% standard** when the time between the 'Time of Call' (TOC) and 'Time in Attendance' (TIA) of the first fire engine arriving at the incident is less than the relevant response standard.

The latest quarter 1st pump response improved 3% over the same quarter of the previous year.



2.1.2 Emergency Response Standards - Critical Fires – 2nd Fire Engine Attendance



Quarter response 84.88%

Critical fire incidents are defined as incidents that are likely to involve a significant threat to life, structures or the environment. Our response standards, in respect of critical fires, are variable and are determined by the risk map (KPI 1.1) and subsequent risk grade of the Super Output Area (SOA) in which the fire occurred.

The response standards include call handling and fire engine response time for the second fire engine attending a critical fire, and are as follows:

- Very high risk area = 9 minutes
 - High risk area = 11 minutes

- Medium risk area = 13 minutes
- Low risk area = 15 minutes

We have achieved our **85% standard** when the time between the 'Time of Call' and 'Time in Attendance' of second fire engine arriving at the incident is less than the relevant response standard.

The latest quarter 2nd pump response improved 4.13% over the same quarter of the previous year.

| 2 nd pu | ımp cumulative dance standard | Year to Date | 2019/20 Quarter 3 | Previous year to Date | 2018/19 Quarter 3 |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|
| allend | dance standard | 86.90% | 84.88% | 82.42% | 80.75% |
| 70% - | | | | | |
| 75% - | | | | | |
| 80% - | | Ň | \setminus N | | |
| 85% - | | \ \ | | | |
| 90% - | V | γγ. | • | | |
| 95% - | | | | | |
| 100% - | Apr Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct | Dec Dec Mar Apr May | Aug Sep Oct Nov Jan Feb | Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep | Nov Nov Jan Jan Mar |
| | 2017/2018 | | 2018/2019 | 2019/2 | |
| | In | cidents UCL Curr Me | | | , |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

2.2.1 Emergency Response Standard - Critical Special Service - 1st Fire Engine Attendance




Quarter response 90.27%

Critical special service incidents are non-fire incidents where there is a risk to life, for example, road traffic collisions, rescues and hazardous materials incidents. For these incidents there is a single response standard which measures call handling time and fire engine response time. The response standard for the first fire engine attending a critical special service call is 13 minutes.

We have achieved our **90% standard** when the time between the 'Time of Call' and 'Time in Attendance' of first fire engine arriving at the incident is less than the response standard.

The latest quarter 1st pump response improved 0.86% over the same quarter of the previous year.



2.3 Fire Engine Availability - Wholetime, Day Crewing and Day Crewing Plus



Quarter availbility 99.51%

This indicator measures the availability of fire engines that are crewed by wholetime, day crewing and day crewing plus shifts. It is measured as the percentage of time a fire engine is available to respond compared to the total time in the period.


Fire engines are designated as unavailable for the following reasons:

- Mechanical
- Crew deficient
- Engineer working on station
- Lack of equipment
- Miscellaneous
- Unavailable

- Appliance change over
- Debrief
- Welfare

Standard: 99.5%

Year to date availability of 99.50% is an increase of 0.11% over the same period of the previous year.



Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

2.4 Fire Engine Availability – On-Call Duty System



Quarter availbility 86.66%

This indicator measures the availability of fire engines that are crewed by the On Call duty system. It is measured by calculating the percentage of time a fire engine is available to respond compared against the total time in the period.

Fire engines are designated as unavailable (off-the-run) for the following reasons. This is further broken down by the percentage of off-the-run (OTR) hours that each reason contributes to the total. A Fire engine can be OTR for more than one reason; hence the percentages are interpreted individually, rather than as a proportion of the total:

| Manager | deficient |
|---------|-----------|

63%

Not enough BA wearers

56%

Crew deficient


76%

No driver

50%

Standard: Above 95%

Year to date availability 87.48%, a 0.11% increase against the previous year to date of 87.37%.



Measuring Progress

October 19 – December 19

2.4.1 Fire Engine Availability – On-Call Duty System (without wholetime detachments).



Quarter availbility 85.31%

Performance indicator: 2.4.1 Fire Engine Availability – On-Call Duty System (without wholetime detachments).

Subset of KPI 2.4 and provided for information only.

This indicator measures the availability of fire engines that are crewed by the On-Call duty system (OC) when wholetime detachments are not used to support availability. It is measured by calculating the percentage of time a fire engine is available to respond compared to the total time in the period.

Fire engines are designated as unavailable (off-the-run) for the following reasons:

- Manager deficient
- Crew deficient
- Not enough BA wearers
- No driver

Standard: As a subset of KPI 2.4 there is no standard attributable to this KPI.

The percentage of time that On-Call crewed engines were available for the most recent quarter was 85.31%. This excludes the wholetime detachments shown in KPI 2.4

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

2.5 Staff Accidents




Quarter activity

21

The number of staff accidents.

An improvement is shown if the average number of staff accidents per quarter is lower than the mean of the previous three years.

The number of staff accidents during the latest quarter increased by 90.91% against the same quarter of the previous year.



The grey line on the XmR chart denotes the mean quarterly activity over the previous 3 years and the pale blue line the current mean.

| Current | 3 year | Quarterly Mean | | | |
|---------|--------|----------------|---------|---------|--|
| Mean | Mean | 2018/19 | 2017/18 | 2016/17 | |
| 21 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | |

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

3.1 Progress against Savings Programme



Quarter variance -0.36%

The total cumulative value of the savings delivered to date compared to the year's standard and the total.

Budget to end of December 2019 £40.6 million. The spend for the same period was £40.4 million.

As a public service we are committed to providing a value for money service to the community and it is important that once a budget has been agreed and set, our spending remains within this.

The annual budget for 2019/20 was set at £56.0 million, with a budget to 31 December of £40.6 million. The spend for the same period was £40.4 million. This gives an under spend for the period of £0.2 million.

Variance:

-0.36%

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

3.2 Overall User Satisfaction



Percentage satisfied 99%

The percentage of people who were satisfied with the service received as a percentage of the total number of people surveyed.

People surveyed include those who have experienced an accidental dwelling fire, a commercial fire or a special service incident that we attended.

The standard is achieved if the percentage of satisfied responses is greater than the standard.

57 people were surveyed; 56 responded that they were very or fairly satisfied.

| Question | Total | Number Satisfied | % Satisfied | % Standard | % Variance |
|---|-------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Taking everthing in to account, are you satisfied, dissatistfied, or neither with the service you received from Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service? | 2,307 | 2,284 | 99.00% | 97.50% | 1.54% |

There have been 2,307 people surveyed since April 2012.

During the latest quarter - 57 people were surveyed and 56 responded that they were 'very satisfied' or 'fairly satisfied' with the service they received.

Measuring Progress

October 19 - December 19

4.2.1 Staff Absence - Excluding On-Call Duty System




Shifts lost 6.596

The cumulative number of shifts (days) lost due to sickness for all wholetime, DCP, DC and support staff divided by the total number of staff.

Annual Standard: Not more than 5 shifts lost.

(Represented on the chart as annual shifts lost ÷ 12 months)



Cumulative total number of monthly shifts lost:

6.596

Measuring Progress

October 19 – December 19

What are the reasons for an Exception Report

This is a negative exception report due to the number of shifts lost through absence per employee being above the Service target for each month during quarter three.

Analysis

During quarter three October 2019 - December 2019, absence statistics shows above target for all three months. Whole-time personnel and Non-uniformed personnel are both well above the target over all three months.

There were 17 cases of long term absence which span over the total of the 3 months; the reasons being: Cancer 5 cases, mental health 5, operation 1 and other 5 cases.

Along with 16 other cases of long term absence which were also recorded within the 3 months: Mental health 8 cases, operation 4, injury 2, cancer 1 and other 1 case.

At the end of December 2019 the cumulative totals show that non-uniformed staff absence was well above target at 9.55 shifts lost per employee, for whole-time staff absence was also above target at 5.66 shifts lost per employee. Overall absence for all staff (except On Call staff) was 6.59 shifts lost which is well above the Service target of 3.75 shifts lost for this quarter.

Actions being taken to improve performance

The Service aims to continue with:

- Early intervention by Occupational Health Unit (OHU) doctor/nurse/physiotherapist.
- Human Resources (HR) supporting managers in following the Absence Management Policy managing individual long term cases, addressing review periods/triggers in a timely manner and dealing with capability off staff due to health issues.
- Absence management presentations/training and question and answer sessions on the ILM course and for newly appointed managers.
- To be included again within the leadership conference to assist future managers understanding and interpretation of the policy.
- Encouraging employees to make use of our Employee Assistance Programme provider Health Assured and The Firefighters Charity.
- HR to be in attendance at Stress Risk Assessment meetings, to support managers and to offer appropriate support to the employee along with signposting.
- OHU to organise health checks for individuals on a voluntary basis.
- Support from Service Fitness Advisor/ Personal Training Instructors.
- Promotion of health, fitness and wellbeing via the routine bulletin and Employee Assistance programme.

October 19 - December 19


4.2.2 Staff Absence – On-Call Duty System



Absence 0.56%

The percentage of contracted hours lost due to sickness for all On-Call contracted staff. An individual's sickness hours are only counted as absent where they overlap with their contracted hours.

Cumulative On-Call absence, as a percentage of available hours of cover at end of the quarter, 0.56% Annual Standard: No more than 2.5% lost as % of available hours of cover.



Cumulative On-Call absence (as % of available hours of cover):

0.56%